



Order Filed on June 4, 2020  
by Clerk  
U.S. Bankruptcy Court  
District of New Jersey

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  
**Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-1**

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP  
Kenneth A. Rosen, Esq.  
Jeffrey D. Prol, Esq.  
One Lowenstein Drive  
Roseland, New Jersey 07068  
(973) 597-2500 (Telephone)  
(973) 597-2400 (Facsimile)

*Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession*

In re:

DURO DYNE NATIONAL CORP., *et al.*,

Debtors.<sup>1</sup>

Chapter 11


Case No. 18-27963-MBK

Jointly Administered

**ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE DEBTORS AND  
THE NORTH RIVER INSURANCE COMPANY, AND AUTHORIZING THE SALE OF  
THE POLICIES (AS DEFINED IN THE AGREEMENT) FREE AND CLEAR OF LIENS,  
CLAIMS, INTERESTS, AND OTHER ENCUMBRANCES**

The relief set forth on the following pages, numbered two (2) through and including twelve (12),  
is hereby **ORDERED**.

**DATED: June 4, 2020**

  
Honorable Michael B. Kaplan  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these Chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's tax identification number, are: Duro Dyne National Corp. (4664); Duro Dyne Machinery Corp. (9699); Duro Dyne Corporation (3616); Duro Dyne West Corp. (5943); and Duro Dyne Midwest Corp. (4662).

This matter came before the Court on the motion (the “**Motion**”) of Duro Dyne National Corp., Duro Dyne Corporation, Duro Dyne West Corp., Duro Dyne Midwest Corp., and Duro Dyne Machinery Corp., the above-captioned debtors and debtors-in-possession (the “**Debtors**”), for an order pursuant to Sections 105(a) and 363 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”) and Rules 2002, 6004, and 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”): (i) approving in all respects the proposed compromise and settlement reached between the Debtors and The North River Insurance Company (“**North River**”), the terms of which are set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Release (“**Agreement**”) that is annexed to the Motion as Exhibit A;<sup>2</sup> (ii) authorizing the Debtors to enter into the Agreement; (iii) authorizing the sale of the Policies to North River pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Agreement, free and clear of all Interests; and (iv) enjoining various Claims against North River as described in Paragraph 8 below (the “**Sale Injunction**”). The appearances of all interested parties and all responses and objections to the Motion, if any, have been duly noted in the record of the hearing held on \_June 3, 2020 (the “**Hearing**”). Upon the record of the Hearing, the Motion, said responses and objections, if any, and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby makes the following:

---

<sup>2</sup> Except as otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms used herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Agreement (as defined herein). The publicly filed copy of the Agreement annexed to the Motion has been redacted.

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**<sup>3</sup>

Jurisdiction, Final Order and Statutory Predicates

A. The Court has subject-matter jurisdiction to hear and decide the Motion, the relief requested therein, and any responses and objections thereto, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a) and 1334(b). This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A), (N), and (O), as to which this Court has the statutory and constitutional authority to enter a final order. Venue of in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

B. This Order constitutes a final and immediately appealable order within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 158(a).

C. The statutory predicates for the relief sought in the Motion are Sections 105(a), 363, and 541 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 6004 and 9019.

Retention of Jurisdiction

D. It is necessary and appropriate for the Court to retain jurisdiction to, among other things, interpret and enforce the terms and provisions of this Order and the Agreement, and to adjudicate, if necessary, to the extent provided under 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b), any and all disputes arising from or relating to the Agreement.

Notice of the Motion

E. The Debtors have provided due and adequate notice of the Motion, the Hearing, the Agreement, and the subject matter thereof to all parties in interest pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 6004, in the manner authorized by this Court's Order (I) Authorizing the Listing of

---

<sup>3</sup> The findings and conclusions set forth herein constitute the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7052, made applicable to this proceeding pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9014. To the extent any of the following findings of fact constitute conclusions of law, they are adopted as such. To the extent any of the following conclusions of law constitute findings of fact, they are adopted as such.

Addresses of Counsel for Personal Injury Claimants in Creditor Matrix in Lieu of Claimants' Addresses and (II) Approving Notice Procedures for Such Claimants, which was entered on September 11, 2018, in the Bankruptcy Cases (at [ECF No. 36](#)). Such notice was good and sufficient under the particular circumstances, and no further notice is necessary. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, adequate notice of the Motion, the Hearing, and the Agreement has been provided, and a reasonable opportunity to object or be heard with respect to the Motion and the relief requested therein has been afforded, to all parties-in-interest, including: (i) the Committee; (ii) the FCR; (iii) all known claimants, including (a) all claimants or counsel for claimants who have voted on any proposed Chapter 11 plan submitted by the Debtors and (b) all such claimants who are known to the Debtors through participation in the Bankruptcy Cases, the filing of a prepetition lawsuit, or otherwise; (iv) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 3; (v) all other Persons that, as of the date the Motion was filed, had filed a notice of appearance and demand for service of papers in the Bankruptcy Cases or were otherwise listed on the master service list maintained by or on behalf of the Debtors in the Bankruptcy Case; and (vi) all unknown claimants.

Sound Business Judgment and Reasonableness

F. The relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors' bankruptcy estates, their creditors, claimants, and other parties-in-interest. The Debtors have demonstrated good, sufficient, and sound business purposes and justifications for the relief requested in the Motion and the approval of the settlement contemplated thereby.

G. The Agreement, including the sale of the Policies free and clear of any and all Interests and the release of all Claims under any funding agreement, including the Interim Funding Agreement, is fair and reasonable and in the best interests of the Debtors and their bankruptcy

estates. The Settlement Amount, once it is paid, represents fair and reasonable consideration for the sale of the Policies, the release of Claims, and the other provisions set forth in the Agreement. The Agreement is also in the best interests of the claimants and other parties in interest, because it is contemplated that the proceeds of the settlement ultimately will be paid to the Asbestos Trust established under the confirmed Filed Plan to pay eligible Channeled Asbestos Claims in accordance with the applicable trust distribution procedures.

H. The Debtors have demonstrated that the probability of success for the Debtors in litigation over the matters resolved by the Agreement is uncertain; that the litigation of the matters resolved by the Agreement would be complex and costly to the Debtors' bankruptcy estates; and that the entry into the Agreement is necessary and appropriate to maximize the value of the Debtors' estates, is consistent with the reasonable range of potential litigation outcomes, and is in the best interests of the Debtors, their bankruptcy estates, the Debtors' creditors, and all parties in interest because, among other reasons, the Agreement contemplates the payment of the Settlement Amount to the Asbestos Trust (provided that the Filed Plan is confirmed).

Good Faith of Purchaser of the Policies

I. The Agreement was negotiated by the Parties, in good faith, from arm's-length bargaining positions, and without fraud or collusion. Each Party to the Agreement was represented by counsel. The sale consideration to be realized pursuant to the Agreement is fair and reasonable. North River is a good faith purchaser of the Policies for value within the meaning of Section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code and is entitled to the protection thereof. Neither the Debtors nor North River, nor any of their representatives, have engaged in any conduct that would (i) cause or permit the Agreement, or the sale of the Policies contemplated therein, to be avoided under Section 363(n) of the Bankruptcy Code, (ii) cause or permit any amounts, costs, attorneys'

fees, expenses, or punitive damages to be recovered under Section 363(n) of the Bankruptcy Code, or (iii) prevent the application of Section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Satisfaction of Section 363(f) Requirements

J. Duro Dyne may sell its Policies free and clear of Interests under Section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code because one or more of the criteria set forth in Sections 363(f)(1)–(5) of the Bankruptcy Code has been satisfied. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, those holders of Interests against any of the Policies who did not object, or who withdrew their objections, to the Motion or the relief requested therein are deemed to have consented pursuant to Section 363(f)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, and each holder of an Interest in the Policies can be compelled, in a legal or equitable proceeding, to accept a money satisfaction of such Interest as contemplated by Section 363(f)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code.

K. To the extent any Person has demonstrated an Interest in the Policies that is entitled to adequate protection under the Bankruptcy Code, such Interest is adequately protected as required by Section 363(e) of the Bankruptcy Code, and in no circumstance will such Interest be satisfied by North River. The Interests of Channeled Asbestos Claimants and other parties in interest are sufficiently protected because the Agreement provides that the Settlement Amount will be paid to the Asbestos Trust established pursuant to the confirmed Filed Plan to pay Channeled Asbestos Claims against Duro Dyne.

No Successor Liability

L. The transfer of the Policies pursuant to the Agreement does not and will not subject or expose North River to any liability, Claim, cause of action, or remedy by reason of such transfer under (a) the laws of the United States, any state, territory, or possession thereof, or the District of Columbia, based on, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, including, without limitation, any

theory of tort, creditors' rights, equity, antitrust, environmental, successor or transferee liability, labor law, de facto merger, or substantial continuity or (b) any employment contract, understanding, or agreement, including, without limitation, collective bargaining agreements, employee pension plans, or employee welfare or benefit plans.

M. North River is not assuming any of the Debtors' obligations to their employees (including any obligations under the Debtors' bankruptcy estate's collective bargaining agreements, if any) by reason of the purchase of the Policies.

N. No common identity of officers or directors exists between North River and the bankruptcy estates or Debtors.

O. North River is purchasing the Policies pursuant to the Agreement and this Order. North River is not purchasing any other assets of Debtors' bankruptcy estates. North River shall not have any responsibility or liability with respect to any of the bankruptcy estates' other assets or for any liability of, or Claims against, the Debtors.

P. A sale of the Policies, other than one free and clear of Interests, if possible at all, would impact adversely on the Debtors' bankruptcy estates and would be of substantially less benefit to the Debtors, the creditors, and the estates. North River would not purchase the Policies, and pay the Settlement Amount, were the sale not free and clear of all Interests.

#### Sale Injunction

Q. Issuing an injunction under Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code is essential to give effect to the sale of the Policies to North River free and clear of Interests pursuant to Section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Sale Injunction as set forth in Paragraph 8 below is a necessary prerequisite for North River's agreement to the terms and conditions of the Agreement,

and North River will not consummate the sale of the Policies in the absence of such an injunction from this Court.

R. The Interests, if any, of any other insurer are sufficiently protected because the Agreement provides that the Debtors or the Asbestos Trust, as applicable, shall reduce any final judgment or final binding arbitration award, Claim against, or settlement with, any such insurer to the extent necessary to eliminate any such insurer's Claim for contribution, subrogation, indemnification, or similar Claim against North River (as provided in the Agreement).

For all of the foregoing reasons and after due deliberation, **IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The Motion is **GRANTED** and **APPROVED** in all respects.
2. For the reasons set forth herein and on the record at the Hearing, all objections to the Motion and the relief requested therein and/or granted in this Order that have not been withdrawn, waived, or settled, and all reservations of rights included in such objections, are overruled on the merits.
3. Pursuant to Section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors are authorized to enter into and consummate the Agreement, including to (i) sell, transfer and convey the Policies to North River in accordance with the terms and subject only to the conditions specified herein and in the Agreement, and (ii) release claims as set forth in the Agreement. The Debtors and North River are each hereby authorized to take all actions and execute all documents and instruments that the Debtors and North River deem necessary or appropriate to implement and effectuate the Agreement.
4. The terms and provisions of the Agreement are approved in their entirety, and this Order and the Agreement shall be binding upon Duro Dyne, North River, all Persons holding



Interests in the Policies or Claims against Duro Dyne or the Debtors' bankruptcy estates, the FCR and each of the Persons whose interests or demands he represents, the Committee, Duro Dyne's insurers other than North River, any actual or potential insureds under the Policies, all other parties-in-interest, and, upon its creation, the Asbestos Trust, and each of the foregoing entities' respective successors and assigns. The sale of the Policies to North River, effective upon North River's payment of the Settlement Amount in full to the Asbestos Trust, shall constitute a legal, valid, and effective transfer of the Policies and shall vest North River with all right, title, and interest in and to the Policies free and clear of all Interests pursuant to Section 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code.

5. North River shall pay to the Asbestos Trust the Settlement Amount as provided in the Agreement.

6. The sale of the Policies to North River under the Agreement constitutes a transfer for reasonably equivalent value and fair consideration for purposes of the Bankruptcy Code and comparable provisions of non-bankruptcy law.

7. Subject to North River's payment of the Settlement Amount in full, pursuant to Sections 105(a) and 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Policies shall be sold and transferred to North River, free and clear of any and all Interests of all Persons in, to, and with respect to the Policies, including any and all Claims against North River for contribution, indemnity, or other liability under, based upon, arising from, or attributable to the Policies (including any Direct Action Claims), whether arising prior to, during, or subsequent to the Bankruptcy Cases or imposed by agreement, understanding, law, equity, or otherwise. Any and all Interests that the Court determines are entitled to protection under Section 363(e) of the Bankruptcy Code shall attach to the proceeds of sale with the same validity, priority, force, and effect as such Interests

had in the Policies prior to entry of this Order, subject to the terms and conditions of any Plan confirmed for the Debtors, to the extent such terms and conditions are valid, binding and enforceable. Nothing contained herein is intended to nor shall be deemed to constitute a determination of the extent, validity, or priority of any such Interests that may be asserted (including by any other insurance company).

8. Effective upon North River's payment of the Settlement Amount in full, pursuant to Sections 105(a) and 363 of the Bankruptcy Code, all Persons who have held or asserted, who hold or assert, or who may in the future hold or assert any Claim or Interest of any kind or nature against or in any of the Debtors' bankruptcy estates, Duro Dyne, the Policies, or North River based upon, arising under or out of, or in any way attributable to the Policies or any funding agreement, including the Interim Funding Agreement, whenever or wherever arising or asserted (including all thereof in the nature of or sounding in tort, contract, warranty, or any other theory of law, equity, or admiralty), shall be and hereby are permanently stayed, restrained, and enjoined from asserting any such Claims or Interests against North River and from continuing, commencing, or otherwise proceeding or taking any action against North River to enforce such Interests or Claims or for the purpose of directly or indirectly collecting, recovering, or receiving payments from North River to recover with respect to any such Claim or Interest.

9. North River is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a successor to the Debtors or the Debtors' bankruptcy estates by reason of any theory of law or equity or as a result of the consummation of the transactions contemplated in the Agreement or otherwise. North River shall not assume any liabilities of the Debtors or their bankruptcy estates.

10. The transactions contemplated by the Agreement, including the sale of the Policies to North River free and clear of all Interests, are undertaken by North River in good faith, as that

term is used in Section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code. Accordingly, the reversal or modification on appeal of the authorization to consummate the sale of the Policies and the transactions contemplated by the Agreement shall not affect the validity of the sale of the Policies to North River, unless such authorization is duly stayed pending such appeal. North River is a purchaser in good faith of the Policies and shall be entitled to all of the protections afforded by Section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code.

11. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a), the settlement and mutual release of Claims as set forth in the Agreement are hereby approved as of the Trigger Date of the Agreement. Notwithstanding Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), and except as to the Sale Injunction in Paragraph 8 of this Order, which will become effective and enforceable only upon North River's payment of the Settlement Amount in full, this Order shall be effective and enforceable immediately upon its entry, and the sale approved by this Order shall become effective upon the occurrence of the Trigger Date.

12. The failure specifically to include any particular provision of the Agreement in this Order shall not diminish or impair the effectiveness of such provision, it being the intent of the Court that the Agreement be authorized and approved in its entirety.

13. This Court shall, to the extent authorized by 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b), retain jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the provisions of this Order and the Agreement in all respects. Such jurisdiction shall be retained even if the Filed Plan is confirmed and/or the Bankruptcy Cases are closed, and the Bankruptcy Cases may be reopened for such purpose.

14. Each and every federal, state, and local governmental agency or department is hereby directed to accept this Order in lieu of any document necessary to consummate the settlement contemplated by the Agreement and this Order.

15. The provisions of this Order are mutually dependent and are not severable.

16. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 5003 and 9021 (and [Fed. R. Civ. P. 58](#)), the Clerk of this Court is directed forthwith to enter this Order on the docket of the Bankruptcy Cases as a final order and judgment.

United States Bankruptcy Court  
District of New Jersey

In re:  
Duro Dyne National Corp.  
Debtor

Case No. 18-27963-MBK  
Chapter 11

### CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

District/off: 0312-3

User: admin  
Form ID: pdf903

Page 1 of 2  
Total Noticed: 1

Date Rcvd: Jun 05, 2020

Notice by first class mail was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center on Jun 07, 2020.

db +Duro Dyne National Corp., 100 Horizon Center Boulevard, Hamilton, NJ 08691-1910

Notice by electronic transmission was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center.  
NONE. TOTAL: 0

\*\*\*\*\* BYPASSED RECIPIENTS \*\*\*\*\*

NONE.

TOTAL: 0

Addresses marked '+' were corrected by inserting the ZIP or replacing an incorrect ZIP.  
USPS regulations require that automation-compatible mail display the correct ZIP.

Transmission times for electronic delivery are Eastern Time zone.

**I, Joseph Speetjens, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have sent the attached document to the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.**

**Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 309): Pursuant to Fed. R. Bank. P. 2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.**

Date: Jun 07, 2020

Signature: /s/Joseph Speetjens

---

### CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system on June 5, 2020 at the address(es) listed below:

Carolyn Lachman on behalf of Creditor Pension Benefit Guaranty Corp lachman.carolyn@pbpgc.gov, efile@pbpgc.gov  
Christina Salem on behalf of Interested Party The North River Insurance Company christina.salem@kennedyscmk.com  
Christina Salem on behalf of Interested Party Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company christina.salem@kennedyscmk.com  
Daniel Keller on behalf of Interested Party Daniel Keller dkeller@kfjlegal.com  
Daniel Stolz on behalf of Creditor Sheet Metal, Air, Rail & Transportation Workers International Association, AFL-CIO dstolz@wjslaw.com, dstolz@ecf.inforuptcy.com;msousa@wjslaw.com;btorres@ecf.inforuptcy.com  
Daniel Wagner London, I on behalf of Interested Party MidStates Reinsurance Corporation dlondon@londonfischer.com  
Denise E. Carlson on behalf of Loss Mitigation Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing dcarlon@kmlawgroup.com, bkgroup@kmlawgroup.com  
Edwin J Harron on behalf of Attorney Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP eharron@ycst.com, dlaskin@ycst.com  
Edwin J Harron on behalf of Other Prof. Lawrence Fitzpatrick , dlaskin@ycst.com  
Jeffrey A. Cooper on behalf of Creditor 4 Site, LLC jcooper@rltlawfirm.com, cooperatty@aol.com;rgaydos@rltlawfirm.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Debtor Duro Dyne National Corp. jprol@lowenstein.com, jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Interested Party Duro Dyne Machinery jprol@lowenstein.com, jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Attorney Lowenstein Sandler LLP jprol@lowenstein.com, jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Interested Party Duro Dyne West jprol@lowenstein.com, jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Debtor Duro Dyne West Corp. jprol@lowenstein.com, jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Debtor Duro Dyne Machinery Corp. jprol@lowenstein.com, jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Interested Party Duro Dyne Midwest jprol@lowenstein.com, jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Interested Party Duro Dyne Corporation jprol@lowenstein.com, jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Debtor Duro Dyne MidWest Corp. jprol@lowenstein.com, jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Debtor Duro Dyne Corporation jprol@lowenstein.com, jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Other Prof. Getzler Henrich & Associates, LLC jprol@lowenstein.com, jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com

District/off: 0312-3

User: admin  
Form ID: pdf903

Page 2 of 2  
Total Noticed: 1

Date Rcvd: Jun 05, 2020

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system (continued)

Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Attorney Cort T. Malone jprol@lowenstein.com,  
jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey D. Prol on behalf of Noticing Agent BMC Group, Inc. jprol@lowenstein.com,  
jkramer@lowenstein.com;dclaussen@lowenstein.com;lowenstein@ecfalerts.com;bnathan@lowenstein.com  
Jeffrey M. Sponder on behalf of U.S. Trustee U.S. Trustee jeffrey.m.sponder@usdoj.gov,  
jeffrey.m.sponder@usdoj.gov  
John A. Fialcowitz on behalf of Attorney Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered john@fialcowitzlaw.com  
John A. Fialcowitz on behalf of Other Prof. Charter Oak Financial Consultants, LLC  
john@fialcowitzlaw.com  
John A. Fialcowitz on behalf of Spec. Counsel Gilbert LLP john@fialcowitzlaw.com  
John A. Fialcowitz on behalf of Attorney The Law Office of John A. Fialcowitz, LLC  
john@fialcowitzlaw.com  
John A. Fialcowitz on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Asbestos Claimants  
john@fialcowitzlaw.com  
Jordan E. Jacobson on behalf of Creditor Pension Benefit Guaranty Corp  
jacobson.jordan@pbgc.gov, efile@pbgc.gov  
Kami Elizabeth Quinn on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Asbestos Claimants  
quinnk@gotofirm.com  
Karl J. Norgaard on behalf of Unknown Role Type Undisclosed Interested Party  
knorgaard@norgaardfirm.com,  
sferreira@norgaardfirm.com;184grandno@gmail.com;kcimmino@norgaardfirm.com  
Mark S. Lichtenstein on behalf of Interested Party Federal Insurance Company  
mlichtenstein@crowell.com, mplevin@crowell.com;tyoon@crowell.com  
Matthew B. Heimann on behalf of Creditor Mestek, Inc. and Mestek Machinery, Inc.  
lrestivo@mccarter.com  
Mitchell Hausman on behalf of U.S. Trustee U.S. Trustee Mitchell.B.Hausman@usdoj.gov  
Scott S. Rever on behalf of Creditor Sheet Metal, Air, Rail & Transportation Workers  
International Association, AFL-CIO srever@wjslaw.com,  
srever@ecf.inforuptcy.com;dmendez@wjslaw.com;dmendez@ecf.inforuptcy.com  
Sean M. Beach on behalf of Other Prof. Lawrence Fitzpatrick bankfilings@ycst.com  
Sommer Leigh Ross on behalf of Interested Party MidStates Reinsurance Corporation  
slross@duanemorris.com, AutoDocketWILM@duanemorris.com  
Stephen Forte on behalf of Interested Party Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company  
stephen.forte@offitkurman.com,  
bankruptcy@goodwin.com;bankruptcyparalegal@goodwin.com;awilliams@goodwin.com  
Stephen Forte on behalf of Interested Party The North River Insurance Company  
stephen.forte@offitkurman.com,  
bankruptcy@goodwin.com;bankruptcyparalegal@goodwin.com;awilliams@goodwin.com  
U.S. Trustee USTPRegion03.NE.ECF@usdoj.gov  
William E. McGrath, Jr on behalf of Interested Party Munich Reinsurance America, Inc. f/k/a  
American Re-Insurance Company wmcgrath@dilworthlaw.com

TOTAL: 42